Digital Predistortion of Power Amplifier Non-Linearities for Full-Duplex Transceivers

Andrew C. M. Austin, Alexios Balatsoukas-Stimming, and Andreas Burg

Telecommunication Circuits Laboratory, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

Predistortion of Power Amplifier Non-Linearities

Power amplifiers can introduce significant non-linear components into the transmitted signal

For wideband signals, **memory** effects aggravate the problem

Digital predistortion compensates for non-linear compression and memory effects by appropriately preprocessing the **baseband** signal

Predistortion requires a model of the power amplifier to find the **inverse**:

k=0 m-0 Coefficients

K - 1 M - 1Memory polynomial: $y(n) = \sum \sum a_{km} x(n-m) \left| x(n-m) \right|^k$

Application to Full-Duplex Transceivers

Full-duplex transceivers based on digital regeneration: more sensitive to PA non-linearities (and other impairments) than analog cancellation architectures

Tapping RF transmit signal _{Rx} Tx

Delay and

DAC

Tx Samples

idealities

for MIMO

Attenuation

Digital

Regeneration from Tx digital baseband Rx **Regeneration with** predistortion

Rx

Predistortion reduces non-

linearities in transmit signal:

reduces required complexity

of cancellation at the receiver

Determining the Coefficients:

- Find predistortion coeffs by using PA output *y* to estimate the PA input *x* via least-squares
- After convergence: copy coeffs to predistortion stage and run open-loop

Experimental Verification:





Basis function

No predistortion: strong out-ofband emissions 40 dB down from peak power

Predistortion with K = 8 and M = 8: rejection of out-of-band emissions by 20 dB to slightly above noise-floor

Noise-Floor: set by the approx 66 dB dynamic range of the receiver ADC

2.4 GHz carrier, 20 dBm, 5 MHz, 512-tone OFDM



Memory polynomial predistortion **only** accounts for the power amplifier: mixer IQ-imbalance and DAC baseband non-linearities are also significant

New predistortion IQ and PA basis that includes mixer and DAC effects:

 $y(n) = \sum \sum \sum a_{fgm} \Re\{x'(n-m)\}^{\frac{f}{2}} \Im\{x'(n-m)\}^{\frac{g}{2}}$ f=0 g=0 m=0

 a_{fom} : coefficients *M*: memory depth F: max. exponent of Real Part G: max. exponent of Imaginary Part

Experimental Measurements of Self-Interference Suppression with Predistortion



- Digital cancellation stage unable to reduce self-interference more than analog stage
- Self-interference approx 30 dB above the noise

PA predistortion allows digital cancellation stage to reduce self-interference further by 12 dB

Analog cancellation stage **alone** reduces selfinterference to within 20 dB of the noisefloor (on the same level as digital with PA linearization)

Hardware Testbed

NI FlexRIO PXIe-1082 with two NI-5791 RF modules

Baseband signal processing performed in Matlab

Two stage self-interference suppression:

- RF cancellation signal generated from digital baseband (by sounding self-interference channel)
- 2. Digital cancellation applied to the residual selfinterference (joint model includes IQ-imbalance)



What is in the residual?

A "feasible" genie removes all deterministic signal components by averaging over 10 frames

The genie predicts an additional 10 dB analog or digital suppression is possible

The remaining self-interference (10 dB above ulletthe noise-floor) comes from random components, e.g., phase-noise or timing jitter

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

SPAWC 2016

17th IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances for Wireless Communications

Telecommunications

Circuits Laboratory

